Analysis of Excited States in Photoactive Titania Nanostructures

<u>Miguel Recio-Poo</u>,^a Francesc Illas^a, Alexey V. Akimov,^b Stefan T. Bromley,^{a,c} Ángel Morales-García^a

^aDepartament de Ciència de Materials i Química Física & Institut de Química Teòrica i Computacional (IQTCUB)

Universitat de Barcelona, c/Martí i Franquès 1-11, 08028 Barcelona, Spain ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260, United States



ICREA 1QTC

UNIVERSITAT DE

BARCELONA

16101110 °Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA) Pg. Lluis Companys 23, 08010, Barcelona, Spain

Processes heterogeneously photocatalyzed require computational strategies beyond the ground state analysis through the Density Functional Theory (DFT). A **new roadmap** calls for explicitly exploring the excited states for **modelling** efficient photoactive nanostructures. Here, we analyze the dynamics of excited states in $(TiO_2)_8$ clusters. While we shed light on the **effect of water coverage** on the dynamics of various excited states, we perform an initial methodological **assessment** of nonadiabatic molecular dynamics (NA-MD) recipes for further use in larger and more realistic titania nanostructures.



Non-Adiabatic Molecular Dynamics Roadmap







STEP 4: NA-MD

Pre-computed energies (E_I) and NACs (d_{II}) are used to construct **the vibronic Hamiltonian** at every timestep: $H_{IJ}^{vib}\left(\vec{R}(t)\right) = E_{I}\left(\vec{R}(t)\right)\delta_{IJ} - i\hbar d_{IJ}\left(\vec{R}(t)\right)$

 H_{II}^{vib} employed for getting the time-evolution of the coefficients ($c_{i,a}$) of our TD-DFT states, determining the **decay time of S**₁ Trajectory surface hoping (TSH) algorithms: FSSH, mSDM, DISH, IDA



30 initial conditions x 500 realizations = 15000 trajectories per methodology. NA-MD runs are initialized in S₁ in all of them. S₁ decay evolution is then fitted to exponential fitting functions:

FSSH yields shorter recombination times, as it doesn't account for decoherence between states – less realistic

 S_1 decay time evolution wrt the degree of hydroxylation inversely proportional to NACs evolution

 $(TiO_2)_8(H_2O)_2$ provides **longer times – more convenient** for photocatalyic purposes



 $\tau_{\mathrm{PBE/PBE}}$ = 4.6 \pm 0.1 ps $au_{
m FF/PBE}$ = 2.5 \pm 0.0 ps $\tau_{\rm PBE/B3LYP}$ = 135.0±20.7 ps $\tau_{\rm FF/B3LYP}$ = 103.1 ± 11.1 ps <u>FF + TD-B3LYP + mSDM</u> $\tau_{(TiO_2)_8}$ = 1024.9 ps $\tau_{(TiO_2)_8(H_2O)_2}$ = 1033.5 ps $\tau_{(TiO_2)_8(H_2O)_5}$ = 103.1 ps

mSDM method involves a complex description leading to the elimination of coherences and thus to larger decay Hybrid (B3LYP, PBE0) TD-DFT **functionals** give rise to **faster** S₁-S₀ **recombination** (green, black) **Good agreement in final results**

between ffMD and AIMD!!

 $(TiO_2)_8(H_2O)_2$ presents lower average NACs than bare case, as states become slightly more separated in energy

Number of water molecules

As more water molecules are added, the states acquire similar spatial distributions and symmetries: $(TiO_2)_8(H_2O)_5$ possess better electronic state mixing and thus larger NACs

